

# momi: a new method for computing the multipopulation sample frequency spectrum

John Kamm<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Terhorst<sup>1</sup> and Yun S. Song<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Statistics, <sup>2</sup>EECS, Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley Departments of <sup>3</sup>Math, Biology, University of Pennsylvania

Sample Frequency Spectrum (SFS)	Our approach
<ul> <li>Distribution of counts of mutant alleles observed at a site</li> <li>Used to summarize genetic data and infer biological parameters</li> <li>momi (MOran Models for Inference) is a program to compute the SFS for a neutral site under: <ul> <li>population size changes (including exponential growth)</li> <li>population splits and mergers</li> <li>pulse migration and admixture events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>View demography as graphical model, apply variable elimination <ul> <li>aka "tree-peeling" when the demography is a tree</li> </ul> </li> <li>Represent allele frequencies with Moran model <ul> <li>Equivalent to using the coalescent</li> </ul> </li> <li>Polanski-Kimmel equations <ul> <li>Quickly and stably compute mutations arising in each subpopulation</li> </ul> </li> <li>Automatic differentiation to compute gradient and Hessian</li> </ul>

### Demographic history as graphical model



(a) A demographic history with 18 parameters, very loosely based on human history. All parameters are in coalescent-scaled units.

(b) The same history, represented as a graphical model. The SFS is then computed via variable elimination. Each vertex represents the allele frequency of a particular subpopulation at a particular point in time.

## **Comparison with other population genetic models**

Moran model is a finite population model where lineages copy alleles onto each other at some rate  $\lambda$ .

We model alleles within each vertex v by a Moran model with  $n_v$  lineages.

•  $n_v$  = number of samples with some ancestry in v • Copying rate  $\lambda = \frac{1}{N(t)}$  inverse population size

Kingman's coalescent embedded within Moran model via sample genealogy.

 $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Moran is equivalent to using coalescent

----->

Moran model:  $O(n_v)$  states per vertex • # derived alleles at time t

Coalescent:  $O(n_v^2)$  states per vertex # ancestors and # derived alleles at time t

Diffusion: O(D) states per vertex Continuous state space: fraction of population with derived allele • "Discretize" into *D* states • Typically  $D \gg n_v$ 

## Inference

Moran model



Use automatic differentiation to compute gradient Infer parameters via hill-climbing algorithm

Example demography with 18 parameters:

- Simulated 10 datasets with ms
  - n = 10 samples per deme in Africa, East Asia, Melanesia, Europe.
  - n = 2 samples per deme in Neanderthal, Denisova.

Growth asia-	Growth europe-	Growth melanesia-	N africa-	N ancestral-	N asia bottleneck-	N europe bottleneck-	N melanesia bottleneck-	N out of africa-	p denisova pulse-	p neander pulse-	t ancestor-	t denisova-neander split-	t denisova pulse-	t europe-asia split-	t melanesia split-	t neander pulse-	t out of africa-	

### • For each dataset:

Choose random initial parameters (shown in blue)

• Find local optimum (shown in red) with single run of a conjugate gradient method

- On average, each dataset had 186505.9 SNPs and 1516.3 observed SFS entries.
- Average running time of parameter search on a single dataset (start to finish) was 13.3 hours.

This research is supported by the NIH, the Packard Fellowship for Science and Engineering, a Miller Research Professorship, and a Citadel Fellowship.

{jkamm,terhorst,yss}@stat.berkeley.edu